

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	D. I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/036,152		12/31/2001	Mian-Ying Wang	10209.383	3964	
21999	7590	02/12/2004		EXAMINER		
KIRTON	AND MC	CONKIE	COE, SU	COE, SUSAN D		
1800 EAG	LE GATE	TOWER				
60 EAST	SOUTH TE	MPLE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
P O BOX	45120		1654			
SALT LA	KE CITY,	UT 84145-0120	DATE MAIL ED: 02/12/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)						
		10/036,15	2	WANG ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
		Susan Co	9	1654						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
Period for Reply										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status										
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>02 January 2004</u>	<u>!</u> .							
-	•	2b)☐ This action is no								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is									
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims										
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the a	pplication.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
7)										
8)□										
Applicati	on Papers									
9)[The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.										
·	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119										
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).										
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	• • •	•							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.										
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No										
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage										
	application from the Internatio	nal Bureau (PCT Rule	e 17.2(a)).							
* 5	See the attached detailed Office actio	n for a list of the certif	ied copies not receive	ed.						
Attachmen	nt(s)		_							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da							
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or			Patent Application (PTO-152	<u>?</u>)					
<i>,</i> —	er No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:							

Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 2, 2004 has been entered.
- 2. Claims 1-33 are currently pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-28 and 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pat. No. 4,039,559 and US Pat. Appl. No. 2002/0068102 A1 (effective filing date December 1, 2000) for the reasons set forth in the previous Office action.

All of applicant's arguments regarding this ground of rejection have been fully considered but are not persuasive. Applicant argues that US '559 does not teach using M. citrifolia to treat liver damage and that US '102 does not teach using the specific concentrations of M. citrifolia to treat liver damage. However, the references taken together teach using M. citrifolia to treat liver damage because US '559 teaches that carbon tetrachloride causes liver damage due to its ability to produce harmful free radicals and US '102 teaches that M. citrifolia

Art Unit: 1654

is an antioxidant. Thus, an artisan would have a reasonable expectation of success for administering *M. citrifolia* to prevent or inhibit damage to the liver caused by carbon tetrachloride.

In addition, US '102 teaches that effective amounts of *M. citrifolia* are based at 1 ounce and can vary up or down in dosage depending on the desire of the artisan. In addition, US '102 teaches administering the 1 ounce of *M. citrifolia* twice a day; thus, the reference does administer 2 ounces of *M. citrifolia* (see paragraph [0043]). Furthermore, claim 1 of US '102 specifically states that more than 1 ounce can be ingested daily. Therefore, the reference clearly teaches that the amount of *M. citrifolia* taken by the patient is a variable that can be optimized to include dosages higher than 1 ounce. Based on this teaching, an artisan of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to administer *M. citrifolia* in the amounts claimed by applicant.

4. Claims 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Pat. Appl. No. 08217686 A for the reasons set forth in the previous Office action.

All of applicant's arguments regarding this ground of rejection have been fully considered but are not persuasive. Applicant argues that there is no motivation to administer the composition as claimed because the amounts claimed as needed for the treatment and prevention of carbon tetrachloride induced damage. However, claims 29 and 30 are not directed to carbon tetrachloride damage. The claims directed specifically towards carbon tetrachloride are not included in this rejection. Furthermore, the amounts administered by applicant are amounts that would reasonably be arrived at by a person of ordinary skill in the art during a routine optimization of the disclosure of the reference. The reference specifically teaches that the M.

Art Unit: 1654

citrifolia extract is safe in very high dosages; thus, an artisan would reasonably expect that the extract could be administered in dosages that encompass those claimed by applicant.

5. No claims are allowed.

This is a RCE of applicant's earlier Application No. 10/036,152. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan Coe whose telephone number is (571) 272-0963. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 8:00 to 5:30 and on alternating Fridays.

Art Unit: 1654

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback, can be reached on (571) 272-0961. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Susan Coe, Examiner February 6, 2004

LEON B. LANKFORD, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER